

- Some people believe that there should be fixed punishments for each type of crime. Others, however, argue that the circumstances of an individual crime, and the motivation for committing it, should always be taken into account when deciding on the punishment.

Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

There is a belief that there should be fixed punishment for each type of crime while others claim that it should be flexible based on the circumstances. It is a debatable subject and I partially contribute to the idea that there should be pre-defined punishment for each crime provided that there are provisions for exceptions or specific circumstances.

In one hand, there is no doubt that keeping a society healthy and keeping the crime rate less at the same time, there should be some rules and regulations to be implemented. In this regard, authorities and judiciary officials may set some certain rules and bylaws for each crime or even violation. For example, for driving laws there is certain amount of fixed money to be paid for crossing the standard high speed. In such cases the violated driver deliberately crossed the standard high speed and it is assumed that he is entitled to pay the fine. I personally agree to this idea that such a clear rules may help the society and people to have a better disciplined and secure social life.

On the other hand, there are some fixed and strict punishments being exerted against the crime without considering the circumstances. An example can make it more crystal clear here. Should we imagine a murder committed against self-defense, even an ordinary person can perceive that it is not fair to exert the same punishment as a serial killer.

In conclusion, I agree to the idea of having fixed punishment for each crime considering the fact that there are exceptions and specific conditions that needs to be taken into consideration in each case.